UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,795	05/28/2004	Michael A. Slivka	101896-252 (DEP5319)	3794
	7590	EXAMINER		
	DE CENTER WEST	SHAFFER, RICHARD R		
155 SEAPORT BOULEVARD BOSTON, MA 02210-2604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3775	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/29/2008	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket@nutter.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/709,795	SLIVKA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Richard Shaffer	3775					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 De	ecember 2008						
	/ <del></del>						
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7,10-19,21-27,29-33 and 35</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-5,13-16 and 25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1,6,7,10-12,17-19,21-24,26,27,29-33</u>	aria 35 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $\square$	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 8<sup>th</sup>, 2008 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6, 7, 10-12, 17-19, 21, 22-24, 26, 27, 29-33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parker (US Patent Application Publication 2004/0260284) in view of Biedermann et al (US Patent Application Publication 2004/0049190) and further in view of Schläpfer (US Patent 6,063,090)

Parker discloses (**Figure 1**) a device comprising: a bone-engaging member (**105**) having a spherical head (**110**) formed thereon; a U-shaped receiver member (**115**); the receiver (**115**) having a proximal recess/seat (**155**) that receives a (rigid or flexible since it has to be one) spinal rod (**200**); a threaded (**150**) setscrew/fastener (**145**) to mate with the receiver to lock the spinal rod in position while allowing free polyaxial movement of

Art Unit: 3775

the bone-engaging member (105); the bone-engaging member (105) has its head mated to a distal cavity (112) of the receiver.

Parker fails to disclose an opening extending between the proximal recess (155) and distal cavity (112) where the opening is sized to prevent passage of a spinal fixation element as well as the opening having a size that allows a portion of the spinal fixation element to extend into the distal cavity.

Biedermann et al teach (Figures 3 and 4; Page 2, Paragraph 0027; and Page 3, Paragraph 0034) that a passageway (17) too small to allow the spinal rod to pass is provided to allow a screw driver to engage the bone-engaging member. This allows the bone-engaging member to be pre-assembled to the U-shaped receiver member and ready to accept the spinal rod immediately after fixation in the bone without any intermediate steps thereby minimizing the complexity and duration of surgery. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide for a small passageway between the proximal recess and distal cavity of Parker in order to allow for the components to be pre-assembled thereby reducing surgical complexity and duration.

Schläpfer teaches (**Figures 7 and 9**) an arrangement such that the spinal rod (**1**) is partially seated within the distal cavity which houses a flat-topped (**9**) polyaxial screw (**2**). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to substitute the hole design of Schläpfer which allows the spinal rod to partially extend into the distal cavity and to substitute a fully-round headed polyaxial

screw with a flat-topped polyaxial screw in order to provide an equivalent means of supporting a spinal rod on a polyaxial screw with predictable results.

The combination of Parker, Biedermann et al and Schläpfer disclose and teach all of the claimed limitations except for the spinal fixation element being formed form a material from the group consisting of stainless steel, titanium, non-absorbable polymers, absorbable polymers, and combinations thereof.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select a material from applicant's group of appropriate materials since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Specifically, the newly cited prior art reference to Schläpfer is felt to teach the limitation previously discussed as being absent in the previous prior art references of record.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Shaffer whose telephone number is (571)272-8683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7am-5pm).

Application/Control Number: 10/709,795 Page 5

Art Unit: 3775

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Richard Shaffer/ Examiner, Art Unit 3775 /Eduardo C. Robert/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3733